


# When nature entangles millions of particles: from quantum materials to black holes



ENS Lyon  
October 21, 2022

Subir Sachdev



Talk online: [sachdev.physics.harvard.edu](https://sachdev.physics.harvard.edu)



**Foundations**

**by**

**Boltzmann**

# Statistical interpretation of entropy (1870)

$$S = k_B \log W$$

Density of quantum states  $D(E) = \exp(S(E)/k_B)$



Ludwig Boltzmann

20 February 1844 - September 5, 1906


Vienna, Austria

# Boltzmann equation (1872)

## Dilute classical gas

Molecular chaos: successive collisions are statistically independent

$$\frac{\partial f_{\mathbf{p}}}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}}}{\partial \mathbf{p}} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{r}} f_{\mathbf{p}} + \mathbf{F} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{p}} f_{\mathbf{p}} =$$
$$- 2\pi \int_{\mathbf{p}_{1,2,3}} |\mathcal{T}|^2 \delta(\varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}} + \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}_1} - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}_2} - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}_3}) \delta(\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{p}_1 - \mathbf{p}_2 - \mathbf{p}_3)$$
$$\times [f_{\mathbf{p}} f_{\mathbf{p}_1} - f_{\mathbf{p}_2} f_{\mathbf{p}_3}]$$



Ludwig Boltzmann

20 February 1844 - September 5, 1906


Vienna, Austria

# Quantum Boltzmann equation (Landau)

## Dense gas of electrons

Neglects quantum interference (entanglement)  
between successive collisions

$$\frac{\partial f_{\mathbf{p}}}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}}}{\partial \mathbf{p}} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{r}} f_{\mathbf{p}} + \mathbf{F} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{p}} f_{\mathbf{p}} =$$
$$- 2\pi \int_{\mathbf{p}_{1,2,3}} |\mathcal{T}|^2 \delta(\varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}} + \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}_1} - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}_2} - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}_3}) \delta(\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{p}_1 - \mathbf{p}_2 - \mathbf{p}_3)$$
$$\times [f_{\mathbf{p}} f_{\mathbf{p}_1} (1 - f_{\mathbf{p}_2}) (1 - f_{\mathbf{p}_3}) - f_{\mathbf{p}_2} f_{\mathbf{p}_3} (1 - f_{\mathbf{p}}) (1 - f_{\mathbf{p}_1})]$$




Ludwig Boltzmann

20 February 1844 - September 5, 1906

Vienna, Austria

Quantum theory of  
electrons:  
ordinary metals  
and  
strange metals

## Current flow with electrons in Copper







Flow of electrons described by Boltzmann equation  $\Rightarrow$   
typical scattering time  $\tau \sim 1/T^2$ , resistivity  $\rho(T) = \rho(0) + AT^2$


The time  $\tau$  is much longer than a limiting ‘Planckian time’  $\frac{\hbar}{k_B T}$ .

The long scattering time implies that individual electrons are well-defined.


The motion of electrons is ‘ballistic’ or ‘integrable’  
up to the long time  $\tau$ , after which it is chaotic.







LSCO: Giraldo-Gallo et al. 2018




MATBG: Jaoui et al. 2021


# Linear-in temperature resistivity from an isotropic Planckian scattering rate

Nature **595**, 667-672 (2021)

G. Grissonnanche, Y. Fang, A. Legros, S. Verret, F. Laliberté, C. Collignon, J. Zhou, D. Graf, P. Goddard, L. Taillefer, B. J. Ramshaw




Current flow without quasiparticles



# Sachdev-Ye-Kitaev Model


# Quantum Entanglement

Einstein, Podolsky, Rosen (1935)




# Quantum Entanglement

Einstein, Podolsky, Rosen (1935)




# Quantum Entanglement

Einstein, Podolsky, Rosen (1935)




# Quantum Entanglement

Einstein, Podolsky, Rosen (1935)




# Quantum Entanglement

Einstein, Podolsky, Rosen (1935)



# Quantum Entanglement

Einstein, Podolsky, Rosen (1935)



**Spooky action at a distance !**

natürlicher  
deren Notwendigkeit im Raum  
mus ja zuerst von Dir klar erkannt wurde, einen Bedeutung  
Wahrheitsgehalt hat. Ich kann aber deshalb nicht ernsthaft dar-  
an glauben, weil die Theorie mit dem Grundsatz unvereinbar  
ist, daß die Physik eine Wirklichkeit in Zeit und Raum darstel-  
len soll, ohne spukhafte Fernwirkungen. Allerdings bin ich  
überzeugt daß es wirklich mit der Theorie

amount of validity in the  
recognise clearly as necessary given the framework of  
malism. I cannot seriously believe in it because the theory cannot be rec-  
onciled with the idea that physics should represent a reality in time and  
space, free from spooky actions at a distance. I am, however, not yet  
convinced that it can really be achieved with a continuous field  
... being this which so



I cannot seriously believe in it because the theory cannot be reconciled with the idea that physics should represent a reality in time and space, free from spooky actions at distance



August Kekule, theory of the benzene molecule, 1865



# Kekule's spooky dream

Here Kekulé spoke of the creation of the theory. He said that he had discovered the ring shape of the benzene molecule after having a reverie or day-dream of a snake seizing its own tail\*





# Kekulé's spooky dream

Here Kekulé spoke of the creation of the theory. He said that he had discovered the ring shape of the benzene molecule after having a reverie or day-dream of a snake seizing its own tail\*



# Kekule's spooky dream


Here Kekulé spoke of the creation of the theory. He said that he had discovered the ring shape of the benzene molecule after having a reverie or day-dream of a snake seizing its own tail\*



My  
spooky  
dream\*

Ancient  
Indian  
game of  
Snakes  
and  
Ladders

\*Not true




A solvable model of multi-particle entanglement which accounts for quantum interference between successive collisions:


leading to a metal with no particle-like excitations

# The SYK model

Sachdev, Ye (1993); Kitaev (2015)




Pick a set of random positions




# The SYK model

Sachdev, Ye (1993); Kitaev (2015)




Place electrons randomly on some sites




# The SYK model

Sachdev, Ye (1993); Kitaev (2015)



$$U_{11,12;5,14}$$


Place electrons randomly on some sites




# The SYK model

Sachdev, Ye (1993); Kitaev (2015)

$$U_{11,12;5,14}$$




Entangle electrons pairwise randomly




# The SYK model

Sachdev, Ye (1993); Kitaev (2015)

$$U_{4,5;11,18}$$




Entangle electrons pairwise randomly




# The SYK model

Sachdev, Ye (1993); Kitaev (2015)

$$U_{4,5;11,18}$$



Entangle electrons pairwise randomly







# The SYK model

Sachdev, Ye (1993); Kitaev (2015)

$$U_{14,19;1,13}$$




Entangle electrons pairwise randomly




# The SYK model

Sachdev, Ye (1993); Kitaev (2015)

$$U_{9,18;5,15}$$




Entangle electrons pairwise randomly




# The SYK model

Sachdev, Ye (1993); Kitaev (2015)

$$U_{9,18;5,15}$$




Entangle electrons pairwise randomly




# The SYK model

Sachdev, Ye (1993); Kitaev (2015)

$$U_{6,8;4,14}$$




Entangle electrons pairwise randomly




# The SYK model

Sachdev, Ye (1993); Kitaev (2015)

$$U_{6,8;4,14}$$



Entangle electrons pairwise randomly



# The Sachdev-Ye-Kitaev (SYK) model

(See also: the “2-Body Random Ensemble” in nuclear physics; did not obtain the large  $N$  limit;  
T.A. Brody, J. Flores, J.B. French, P.A. Mello, A. Pandey, and S.S.M. Wong, Rev. Mod. Phys. **53**, 385 (1981))

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{1}{(2N)^{3/2}} \sum_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta=1}^N U_{\alpha\beta;\gamma\delta} c_{\alpha}^{\dagger} c_{\beta}^{\dagger} c_{\gamma} c_{\delta} - \mu \sum_{\alpha} c_{\alpha}^{\dagger} c_{\alpha}$$



$$c_{\alpha} c_{\beta} + c_{\beta} c_{\alpha} = 0 \quad , \quad c_{\alpha} c_{\beta}^{\dagger} + c_{\beta}^{\dagger} c_{\alpha} = \delta_{\alpha\beta}$$

$$\mathcal{Q} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\alpha} c_{\alpha}^{\dagger} c_{\alpha}; \quad [\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{Q}] = 0; \quad 0 \leq \mathcal{Q} \leq 1$$

$U_{\alpha\beta;\gamma\delta}$  are independent random variables with  $\overline{U_{\alpha\beta;\gamma\delta}} = 0$  and  $\overline{|U_{\alpha\beta;\gamma\delta}|^2} = U^2$   
 $N \rightarrow \infty$  yields critical strange metal.

S. Sachdev and J. Ye, PRL **70**, 3339 (1993)

A. Kitaev, unpublished; S. Sachdev, PRX **5**, 041025 (2015)



## The SYK model

- Planckian time dynamics with a relaxation time  $\sim \hbar/(k_B T)$  when  $k_B T \ll U$ .

## The SYK model

- Planckian time dynamics with a relaxation time  $\sim \hbar/(k_B T)$  when  $k_B T \ll U$ .
- There is an extensive entropy as  $T \rightarrow 0$ :  
 $S(T \rightarrow 0) = N(s_0 + \gamma T)$  ,  $\lim_{T \rightarrow 0} \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} S/N \neq 0$ ;  
however, the ground state is *not* extensively degenerate.

# The Sachdev-Ye-Kitaev (SYK) model

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Z}(Q, T) &= \text{Tr}_Q \exp\left(-\frac{\mathcal{H}}{T}\right) = \exp(-F/T); \text{ Entropy } S = -\frac{\partial F}{\partial T}. \\ &\equiv \int_{E_0^-}^{\infty} D(E) e^{-E/T}; \quad D(E) = \sum_i \delta(E - E_i); \quad \mathcal{H} |\Psi_i\rangle = E_i |\Psi_i\rangle \end{aligned}$$


Conformal perturbations of saddle-point theory:

$$S = N(s_0 + \gamma T) \quad \Rightarrow \quad D(E) \sim \exp\left(N s_0 + \sqrt{2N\gamma E}\right)$$

$\gamma = \# / U$  is non-universal.

# Many-body density of states

$$D(E) = \sum_i \delta(E - E_i); \quad E_0 + E_i \Rightarrow \text{Many body eigenvalue}$$




Acknowledgement:  
Grisha Tarnopolsky

Complex SYK model

# Many-body density of states

$$D(E) = \sum_i \delta(E - E_i); \quad E_0 + E_i \Rightarrow \text{Many body eigenvalue}$$



Acknowledgement:  
Grisha Tarnopolsky

Complex SYK model

## The SYK model

- Planckian time dynamics with a relaxation time  $\sim \hbar/(k_B T)$  when  $k_B T \ll U$ .
- There is an extensive entropy as  $T \rightarrow 0$ :  
 $S(T \rightarrow 0) = N(s_0 + \gamma T)$  ,  $\lim_{T \rightarrow 0} \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} S/N \neq 0$ ;  
however, the ground state is *not* extensively degenerate.

## The SYK model

- Planckian time dynamics with a relaxation time  $\sim \hbar/(k_B T)$  when  $k_B T \ll U$ .
- There is an extensive entropy as  $T \rightarrow 0$ :  
 $S(T \rightarrow 0) = N(s_0 + \gamma T)$  ,  $\lim_{T \rightarrow 0} \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} S/N \neq 0$ ;  
however, the ground state is *not* extensively degenerate.
- The  $D(E)$  is determined by a time-reparameterization  $\tau \rightarrow f(\tau)$  mode (similar to the graviton being fluctuations of the spacetime metric), and a phase mode  $\phi(\tau)$ :

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\text{SYK}} = \int \mathcal{D}f(\tau) \mathcal{D}\phi(\tau) \exp \left( -\frac{1}{\hbar} I_{\text{SYK}}[f(\tau), \phi(\tau)] \right)$$

# The Sachdev-Ye-Kitaev (SYK) model

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{Q}, T) &= \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{Q}} \exp\left(-\frac{\mathcal{H}}{T}\right) = \exp(-F/T); \text{ Entropy } S = -\frac{\partial F}{\partial T}. \\ &\equiv \int_{E_0^-}^{\infty} D(E) e^{-E/T}; \quad D(E) = \sum_i \delta(E - E_i); \quad \mathcal{H} |\Psi_i\rangle = E_i |\Psi_i\rangle \end{aligned}$$

Conformal perturbations of saddle-point theory:

$$S = N(s_0 + \gamma T) \quad \Rightarrow \quad D(E) \sim \exp\left(N s_0 + \sqrt{2N\gamma E}\right)$$

$\gamma = \# / U$  is non-universal.

Exact path integral over Schwarzian quantum gravity theory:

$$S = N(s_0 + \gamma T) - \frac{3}{2} \ln\left(\frac{U}{T}\right) - \frac{\ln N}{2} \quad \Rightarrow \quad D(E) \sim N^{-1} \exp(N s_0) \sinh(\sqrt{2N\gamma E})$$

# The Sachdev-Ye-Kitaev (SYK) model

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{Q}, T) &= \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{Q}} \exp\left(-\frac{\mathcal{H}}{T}\right) = \exp(-F/T); \text{ Entropy } S = -\frac{\partial F}{\partial T}. \\ &\equiv \int_{E_0^-}^{\infty} D(E) e^{-E/T}; \quad D(E) = \sum_i \delta(E - E_i); \quad \mathcal{H} |\Psi_i\rangle = E_i |\Psi_i\rangle \end{aligned}$$

Conformal perturbations of saddle-point theory:

$$S = N(s_0 + \gamma T) \quad \Rightarrow \quad D(E) \sim \exp\left(Ns_0 + \sqrt{2N\gamma E}\right)$$

$\gamma = \# / U$  is non-universal.

A. Georges, O. Parcollet, and S. Sachdev,  
PRB **63**, 134406 (2001)

Exact path integral over Schwarzian quantum gravity theory:

$$S = N(s_0 + \gamma T) - \frac{3}{2} \ln\left(\frac{U}{T}\right) - \frac{\ln N}{2} \quad \Rightarrow \quad D(E) \sim N^{-1} \exp(Ns_0) \sinh(\sqrt{2N\gamma E})$$

# The Sachdev-Ye-Kitaev (SYK) model

$$\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{Q}, T) = \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{Q}} \exp\left(-\frac{\mathcal{H}}{T}\right) = \exp(-F/T); \text{ Entropy } S = -\frac{\partial F}{\partial T}.$$
$$\equiv \int_{E_0^-}^{\infty} D(E) e^{-E/T}; \quad D(E) = \sum_i \delta(E - E_i); \quad \mathcal{H} |\Psi_i\rangle = E_i |\Psi_i\rangle$$

Conformal perturbations of saddle-point theory:

$$S = N(s_0 + \gamma T) \quad \Rightarrow \quad D(E) \sim \exp\left(Ns_0 + \sqrt{2N\gamma E}\right)$$

$\gamma = \# / U$  is non-universal.

A. Georges, O. Parcollet, and S. Sachdev,  
PRB **63**, 134406 (2001)

J. S. Cotler et al.,  
JHEP 05 (2017) 118

Exact path integral over Schwarzian quantum gravity theory:

$$S = N(s_0 + \gamma T) - \frac{3}{2} \ln\left(\frac{U}{T}\right) - \frac{\ln N}{2} \quad \Rightarrow \quad D(E) \sim N^{-1} \exp(Ns_0) \sinh(\sqrt{2N\gamma E})$$

# The Sachdev-Ye-Kitaev (SYK) model

$$\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{Q}, T) = \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{Q}} \exp\left(-\frac{\mathcal{H}}{T}\right) = \exp(-F/T); \text{ Entropy } S = -\frac{\partial F}{\partial T}.$$

$$\equiv \int_{E_0^-}^{\infty} D(E) e^{-E/T}; \quad D(E) = \sum_i \delta(E - E_i); \quad \mathcal{H} |\Psi_i\rangle = E_i |\Psi_i\rangle$$

Conformal perturbations of saddle-point theory:

$$S = N(s_0 + \gamma T) \quad \Rightarrow \quad D(E) \sim \exp\left(Ns_0 + \sqrt{2N\gamma E}\right)$$

$\gamma = \# / U$  is non-universal.

Yingfei Gu, A. Kitaev, S. Sachdev, and G. Tarnopolsky, JHEP 02 (2020) 157

A. Georges, O. Parcollet, and S. Sachdev, PRB **63**, 134406 (2001)


J. S. Cotler et al., JHEP 05 (2017) 118

Exact path integral over Schwarzian quantum gravity theory:

$$S = N(s_0 + \gamma T) - \frac{3}{2} \ln\left(\frac{U}{T}\right) - \frac{\ln N}{2} \quad \Rightarrow \quad D(E) \sim N^{-1} \exp(Ns_0) \sinh(\sqrt{2N\gamma E})$$

# Many-body density of states

$$D(E) = \sum_i \delta(E - E_i); \quad E_0 + E_i \Rightarrow \text{Many body eigenvalue}$$



$$S(T \rightarrow 0) = N(s_0 + \gamma T)$$

$$D(E) \sim e^{S(E)}$$

$$= e^{N s_0 + \sqrt{2N\gamma E}}$$

$$D(E) \sim N^{-1} e^{N s_0} \sinh(\sqrt{2N\gamma E})$$


$$D(E) \sim e^{N s_0} \sqrt{2\gamma E / N}$$

## Complex SYK model

Acknowledgement:  
Grisha Tarnopolsky

# Many-body density of states

$$D(E) = \sum_i \delta(E - E_i); \quad E_0 + E_i \Rightarrow \text{Many body eigenvalue}$$



$$S(T \rightarrow 0) = N(s_0 + \gamma T)$$

$$D(E) \sim e^{S(E)}$$

$$= e^{N s_0 + \sqrt{2N\gamma E}}$$

$$D(E) \sim N^{-1} e^{N s_0} \sinh(\sqrt{2N\gamma E})$$

$$D(E) \sim e^{N s_0} \sqrt{2\gamma E / N}$$

No exponentially large degeneracy, but exponentially small level spacing!  
 No quasiparticle decomposition: wavefunctions change chaotically from one state to the next.

Acknowledgement:  
 Grisha Tarnopolsky


## Complex SYK model

**Quantum  
black holes**

# Black Holes


Objects so dense that light is gravitationally bound to them.

Horizon radius  $R = \frac{2GM}{c^2}$




$G$  Newton's constant,  $c$  velocity of light,  $M$  mass of black hole  
For  $M = \text{earth's mass}$ ,  $R \approx 9 \text{ mm}$ !


# Quantum Entanglement across a black hole horizon



# Quantum Entanglement across a black hole horizon




# Quantum Entanglement across a black hole horizon



# Quantum Entanglement across a black hole horizon


Hawking (1975) used other arguments to show that black hole horizons have a temperature  
(The entanglement reasoning: to an outside observer, the state of the electron inside the black hole cannot be known, and so the outside electron is in a random state.)



# Thermodynamics of quantum black holes with charge $Q$ :



$$\mathcal{Z}(Q, T) = \int \mathcal{D}g_{\mu\nu} \mathcal{D}A_{\mu} \exp \left( -\frac{1}{\hbar} I_{\text{Einstein gravity+Maxwell EM}}^{(3+1)}[g_{\mu\nu}, A_{\mu}] \right)$$



# Thermodynamics of quantum black holes with charge $Q$ :



$$\mathcal{Z}(Q, T) = \int \mathcal{D}g_{\mu\nu} \mathcal{D}A_{\mu} \exp \left( -\frac{1}{\hbar} I_{\text{Einstein gravity+Maxwell EM}}^{(3+1)}[g_{\mu\nu}, A_{\mu}] \right)$$

$$= \exp(S_{BH}) \times \left( \dots????\dots \right)$$


Gibbons, Hawking (1977)  
Chambin, Emparan, Johnson, Myers (1999)



$$S_{BH}(T \rightarrow 0, Q) = \frac{A(T)c^3}{4G\hbar} = \frac{A_0c^3}{4G\hbar} \left( 1 + \frac{2(\pi A_0)^{1/2}T}{\hbar c} \right)$$

$A_0 = 2GQ^2/c^4$  is the area of the charged black hole horizon at  $T = 0$ .

Obtained from the saddle-point of the gravity path integral in the imaginary time spacetime outside the black hole.



# Thermodynamics of quantum black holes with charge $Q$ :



$$\mathcal{Z}(Q, T) = \int \mathcal{D}g_{\mu\nu} \mathcal{D}A_{\mu} \exp \left( -\frac{1}{\hbar} I_{\text{Einstein gravity+Maxwell EM}}^{(3+1)}[g_{\mu\nu}, A_{\mu}] \right)$$

$$= \exp(S_{BH}) \times \left( \dots????\dots \right)$$

Gibbons, Hawking (1977)  
 Chamblin, Emparan, Johnson, Myers (1999)




$$S_{BH}(T \rightarrow 0, Q) = \frac{A(T)c^3}{4G\hbar} = \frac{A_0c^3}{4G\hbar} \left( 1 + \frac{2(\pi A_0)^{1/2}T}{\hbar c} \right)$$


$A_0 = 2GQ^2/c^4$  is the area of the charged black hole horizon at  $T = 0$ .

Note the similarity to the large  $N$  entropy of the SYK model!  
 (along with other similarities)

Sachdev PRL 2010



# Reissner-Nordstrom black hole of Einstein-Maxwell theory



Dimensional reduction from 3+1 dimensions to 1+1 dimensions ( $AdS_2$ ) at low energies!

The isometry group of  $AdS_2$  is the 0+1 dimensional conformal group  $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ .

Thermodynamics of quantum black holes with charge  $Q$ :



$$\mathcal{Z}(Q, T) = \int \mathcal{D}g_{\mu\nu} \mathcal{D}A_{\mu} \exp \left( -\frac{1}{\hbar} I_{\text{Einstein gravity+Maxwell EM}}^{(3+1)}[g_{\mu\nu}, A_{\mu}] \right)$$

Saddle-point:

$$S_{BH}(T \rightarrow 0, Q) = \frac{A(T)c^3}{4G\hbar} = \frac{A_0 c^3}{4G\hbar} \left( 1 + \frac{2(\pi A_0)^{1/2} T}{\hbar c} \right)$$

$A_0 = 2GQ^2/c^4$  is the area of the charged black hole horizon at  $T = 0$ .

Thermodynamics of quantum black holes with charge  $Q$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Z}(Q, T) &= \int \mathcal{D}g_{\mu\nu} \mathcal{D}A_{\mu} \exp \left( -\frac{1}{\hbar} I_{\text{Einstein gravity+Maxwell EM}}^{(3+1)}[g_{\mu\nu}, A_{\mu}] \right) \\ &\approx \exp \left( \frac{A_0 c^3}{4\hbar G} \right) \int \mathcal{D}g_{\mu\nu} \mathcal{D}A_{\mu} \exp \left( -\frac{1}{\hbar} I_{\text{JT gravity of AdS}_2+\text{boundary graviton}}^{(1+1)}[g_{\mu\nu}, A_{\mu}] \right) \end{aligned}$$

Saddle-point:

$$S_{BH}(T \rightarrow 0, Q) = \frac{A(T)c^3}{4G\hbar} = \frac{A_0 c^3}{4G\hbar} \left( 1 + \frac{2(\pi A_0)^{1/2} T}{\hbar c} \right)$$

$A_0 = 2GQ^2/c^4$  is the area of the charged black hole horizon at  $T = 0$ .

Thermodynamics of quantum black holes with charge  $Q$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{Z}(Q, T) &= \int \mathcal{D}g_{\mu\nu} \mathcal{D}A_\mu \exp \left( -\frac{1}{\hbar} I_{\text{Einstein gravity+Maxwell EM}}^{(3+1)}[g_{\mu\nu}, A_\mu] \right) \\
 &\approx \exp \left( \frac{A_0 c^3}{4\hbar G} \right) \int \mathcal{D}g_{\mu\nu} \mathcal{D}A_\mu \exp \left( -\frac{1}{\hbar} I_{\text{JT gravity of AdS}_2+\text{boundary graviton}}^{(1+1)}[g_{\mu\nu}, A_\mu] \right) \\
 &= \int \mathcal{D}f(\tau) \mathcal{D}\phi(\tau) \exp \left( -\frac{1}{\hbar} I_{\text{SYK}}[\text{time reparameterizations } f(\tau), \text{ phase rotations } \phi(\tau)] \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

**Saddle-point:**

$$S_{BH}(T \rightarrow 0, Q) = \frac{A(T)c^3}{4G\hbar} = \frac{A_0 c^3}{4G\hbar} \left( 1 + \frac{2(\pi A_0)^{1/2} T}{\hbar c} \right)$$

$A_0 = 2GQ^2/c^4$  is the area of the charged black hole horizon at  $T = 0$ .

Thermodynamics of quantum black holes with charge  $Q$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Z}(Q, T) &= \int \mathcal{D}g_{\mu\nu} \mathcal{D}A_\mu \exp \left( -\frac{1}{\hbar} I_{\text{Einstein gravity+Maxwell EM}}^{(3+1)}[g_{\mu\nu}, A_\mu] \right) \\ &\approx \exp \left( \frac{A_0 c^3}{4\hbar G} \right) \int \mathcal{D}g_{\mu\nu} \mathcal{D}A_\mu \exp \left( -\frac{1}{\hbar} I_{\text{JT gravity of AdS}_2+\text{boundary graviton}}^{(1+1)}[g_{\mu\nu}, A_\mu] \right) \\ &= \int \mathcal{D}f(\tau) \mathcal{D}\phi(\tau) \exp \left( -\frac{1}{\hbar} I_{\text{SYK}}[\text{time reparameterizations } f(\tau), \text{ phase rotations } \phi(\tau)] \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$S(T \rightarrow 0, Q) = \frac{A(T)c^3}{4G\hbar} - \frac{3}{4} \ln \left( \frac{\hbar c^5}{GT^2} \right)$$

The  $\ln T$  term is the SYK/boundary-graviton correction to Bekenstein-Hawking.

There is also a  
 $-\frac{559}{180} \ln \left( \frac{A_0 c^3}{\hbar G} \right)$   
 term from other massless  
 modes; Sen (2011)  
 Iliesiu, Murthy, Turiaci (2022)

# Black hole questions and answers

Can we find a quantum simulation of the inside of a black hole whose  $D(E)$  matches the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy computed outside the black hole?

# Black hole questions and answers

Can we find a quantum simulation of the inside of a black hole whose  $D(E)$  matches the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy computed outside the black hole?



Yes, for charged black holes:

- For generic charged black holes in 3+1 dimensions, the SYK model yields, in terms of  $A_0 = 2GQ^2/c^4$  the horizon area at  $T = 0$ :

$$D(E) \sim \left( \frac{A_0 c^3}{\hbar G} \right)^{-347/90} \exp \left( \frac{A_0 c^3}{4\hbar G} \right) \sinh \left( \left[ \frac{\sqrt{\pi} A_0^{3/2} c^2}{\hbar^2 G} E \right]^{1/2} \right)$$

There is no degeneracy, but an exponentially small level spacing down to the ground state.

$D(E)$



# Black hole questions and answers


Can we find a quantum simulation of the inside of a black hole whose  $D(E)$  matches the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy computed outside the black hole?

Yes, for charged black holes:

- With sufficient low energy supersymmetry, string theory yields:

$$D(E) = \exp\left(\frac{A_0 c^3}{4\hbar G}\right) \delta(E) + \theta(E - \Delta) f(E - \Delta) + \dots$$

There are exponentially many degenerate BPS ground states, and an energy gap  $\Delta$  above the ground state.



M. Heydeman, L.V. Iliesiu, G. J. Turiaci, and W. Zhao, 2020

L.V. Iliesiu, S. Murthy, G. J. Turiaci, 2022

# Black hole questions and answers

Can we find a quantum simulation of the inside of a black hole whose  $D(E)$  matches the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy computed outside the black hole?



Yes, for charged black holes:

- For generic charged black holes in 3+1 dimensions, the SYK model yields, in terms of  $A_0 = 2GQ^2/c^4$  the horizon area at  $T = 0$ :

$$D(E) \sim \left( \frac{A_0 c^3}{\hbar G} \right)^{-347/90} \exp \left( \frac{A_0 c^3}{4\hbar G} \right) \sinh \left( \left[ \frac{\sqrt{\pi} A_0^{3/2} c^2}{\hbar^2 G} E \right]^{1/2} \right)$$

- ‘Wormhole’ contributions to this quantum simulation have led to an understanding of the Page curve of entanglement entropy of evaporating black holes.

Saad, Shenker, Stanford (2019)



**Strange  
metals**




**Aavishkar Patel**

Flatiron Institute, NYC



**Haoyu Guo**

Harvard



**Ilya Esterlis**

Harvard → Wisconsin

**arXiv: 2103.08615, 2203.04990, 2207.08841**

**E. E. Aldape, T. Cookmeyer, Aavishkar A. Patel, and Ehud Altman, arXiv:2012.00763**

## Properties of a strange metal:

1. Resistivity  $\rho(T) = \rho_0 + AT + \dots$  as  $T \rightarrow 0$   
and  $\rho(T) < h/e^2$  (in  $d = 2$ ).  
Metals with  $\rho(T) > h/e^2$  are bad metals.

2. Specific heat  $\sim T \ln(1/T)$  as  $T \rightarrow 0$ .

S.A. Hartnoll and A.P. MacKenzie, arXiv:2107.07802

3. Optical conductivity

$$\sigma(\omega) = \frac{K}{\frac{1}{\tau_{\text{trans}}(\omega)} - i\omega \frac{m_{\text{trans}}^*(\omega)}{m}} \quad ; \quad \frac{1}{\tau_{\text{trans}}(\omega)} \sim |\omega| \Phi_{\sigma} \left( \frac{\hbar\omega}{k_B T} \right)$$


B. Michon.....A. Georges, arXiv:2205.04030

4. Photoemission: nearly “marginal Fermi liquid” electron spectral density:

$$\text{Im}\Sigma(\omega) \sim |\omega|^{2\alpha} \Phi_{\Sigma} \left( \frac{\hbar\omega}{k_B T} \right) \quad \text{with } \alpha \approx 1/2$$


T.J. Reber....D. Dessau,  
Nature Communications **10**, 5737 (2019)

# Quantum criticality of Ising-nematic ordering in a metal



Pomeranchuk instability as a function of coupling  $J$


# Quantum criticality of Ising-nematic ordering in a metal



Phase diagram as a function of  $T$  and  $J$

## Fermi surface


$$\mathcal{L}_\psi = \psi_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} + \varepsilon(\mathbf{k}) \right) \psi_{\mathbf{k}}$$



$$-J \psi^\dagger(\mathbf{r}) \psi^\dagger(\mathbf{r}) \psi(\mathbf{r}) \psi(\mathbf{r})$$

# Fermi surface coupled to a critical boson

$$\mathcal{L}_\psi = \psi_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} + \varepsilon(\mathbf{k}) \right) \psi_{\mathbf{k}}$$



a critical boson  $\phi$   
*e.g.* Ising-nematic order

$$\frac{[\phi(\mathbf{r})]^2}{J} + \psi^\dagger(\mathbf{r})\psi(\mathbf{r})\phi(\mathbf{r})$$



# Spatially random interactions!

## Puddle formation, persistent gaps, and non-mean-field breakdown of superconductivity in overdoped $(\text{Pb,Bi})_2\text{Sr}_2\text{CuO}_{6+\delta}$

Willem O. Tromp, Tjerk Benschop, Jian-Feng Ge, Irene Battisti, Koen M. Bastiaans, Damianos Chatzopoulos, Amber Vervloet, Steef Smit, Erik van Heumen, Mark S. Golden, Yinkai Huang, Takeshi Kondo, Yi Yin, Jennifer E. Hoffman, Miguel Antonio Sulangi, Jan Zaanen, Milan P. Allan

Our scanning tunneling spectroscopy measurements in the overdoped regime of the  $(\text{Pb,Bi})_2\text{Sr}_2\text{CuO}_{6+\delta}$  high-temperature superconductor show the emergence of puddled superconductivity, featuring nanoscale superconducting islands in a metallic matrix


arXiv:2205.09740



# Fermi surface coupled to a critical boson with disorder

$$\mathcal{L}_\psi = \psi_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} + \varepsilon(\mathbf{k}) \right) \psi_{\mathbf{k}}$$

a critical boson  $\phi$   
*e.g.* Ising-nematic order



$$[\phi(\mathbf{r})]^2 + [g + g'(\mathbf{r})] \psi^\dagger(\mathbf{r}) \psi(\mathbf{r}) \phi(\mathbf{r}) + v(\mathbf{r}) \psi^\dagger(\mathbf{r}) \psi(\mathbf{r})$$

$\phi^2$  “mass” disorder  $J'(\mathbf{r})$  is strongly relevant;  
 rescale  $\phi$  to move disorder to the Yukawa coupling;


Spatially random Yukawa coupling  $g'(\mathbf{r})$  with  $\overline{g'(\mathbf{r})} = 0$ ,  $\overline{g'(\mathbf{r})g'(\mathbf{r}')} = g'^2 \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}')$

Spatially random potential  $v(\mathbf{r})$  with  $\overline{v(\mathbf{r})} = 0$ ,  $\overline{v(\mathbf{r})v(\mathbf{r}')} = v^2 \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}')$

# Fermi surface coupled to a critical boson with disorder

$$\mathcal{L}_\psi = \psi_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} + \varepsilon(\mathbf{k}) \right) \psi_{\mathbf{k}}$$

a critical boson  $\phi$   
*e.g.* Ising-nematic order



$$[\phi(\mathbf{r})]^2 + [g + g'(\mathbf{r})] \psi^\dagger(\mathbf{r}) \psi(\mathbf{r}) \phi(\mathbf{r}) + v(\mathbf{r}) \psi^\dagger(\mathbf{r}) \psi(\mathbf{r})$$

Conductivity:  $\sigma(\omega) \sim [1/\tau_{\text{trans}}(\omega) - i\omega m_{\text{trans}}^*(\omega)/m]^{-1}$

$$\frac{1}{\tau_{\text{trans}}(\omega)} \sim v^2 + g'^2 |\omega| \quad ; \quad \frac{m_{\text{trans}}^*(\omega)}{m} \sim \frac{2g'^2}{\pi} \ln(\Lambda/\omega)$$


Residual resistivity is determined by  $v^2$ ; Linear-in- $T$  resistivity determined by  $g'^2$ ;  
 Transport insensitive to  $g$ ; Marginal Fermi liquid self energy and  $T \ln(1/T)$  specific heat.

# Summary

- SYK: a solvable toy model without particle-like excitations, exhibiting thermalization and many-body chaos in a time of order  $\hbar/(k_B T)$ , independent of microscopic energy scales.

# Summary

- SYK: a solvable toy model without particle-like excitations, exhibiting thermalization and many-body chaos in a time of order  $\hbar/(k_B T)$ , independent of microscopic energy scales.
- Toy SYK model captures the correct universal low energy quantum theory of charged black holes, and provides a Hamiltonian realization of black hole microstates.



# Summary

- SYK: a solvable toy model without particle-like excitations, exhibiting thermalization and many-body chaos in a time of order  $\hbar/(k_B T)$ , independent of microscopic energy scales.
- Toy SYK model captures the correct universal low energy quantum theory of charged black holes, and provides a Hamiltonian realization of black hole microstates.
- Linear- $T$  resistivity,  $T \ln(1/T)$  specific heat,  $\sim 1/\omega$  optical conductivity, and marginal Fermi liquid electron spectrum *all* arise from a SYK-like model with spatially random interactions in a two-dimensional quantum-critical metal.

