

Planckian metals and black holes

GGI Tea Break

The Galileo Galilei Institute for Theoretical Physics

Florence, Italy

October 20, 2021

Subir Sachdev

Talk online: sachdev.physics.harvard.edu



INSTITUTE FOR
ADVANCED STUDY

PHYSICS



HARVARD



1. Introduction to Planckian metals

2. Introduction to black holes

3. The SYK model

4. Progress on the theory of black holes


5. Progress on the theory of Planckian metals

Ordinary metals



Ordinary metals are shiny, and they conduct heat and electricity efficiently. Each atom donates electrons which are delocalized throughout the entire crystal

Current flow with quasiparticles in Copper







Flowing quasiparticles scatter off each other in a typical scattering time τ

This time is much longer than a limiting ‘Planckian time’ $\frac{\hbar}{k_B T}$.

The long scattering time implies that quasiparticles are well-defined.

The motion of quasiparticles is ‘ballistic’ or ‘integrable’ up to the long time τ , after which it is chaotic.





A. Legros, S. Benhabib, W. Tabis, F. Laliberté, M. Dion, M. Lizaire, B. Vignolle, D. Vignolles, H. Raffy, Z. Z. Li, P. Auban-Senzier, N. Doiron-Leyraud, P. Fournier, D. Colson, L. Taillefer, and C. Proust, Nature Physics **15**, 142 (2019)

Material		n (10^{27} m^{-3})	m^* (m_0)	A_1 / d (Ω / K)	$h / (2e^2 T_F)$ (Ω / K)	α
Bi2212	$p = 0.23$	6.8	8.4 ± 1.6	8.0 ± 0.9	7.4 ± 1.4	1.1 ± 0.3
Bi2201	$p \sim 0.4$	3.5	7 ± 1.5	8 ± 2	8 ± 2	1.0 ± 0.4
LSCO	$p = 0.26$	7.8	9.8 ± 1.7	8.2 ± 1.0	8.9 ± 1.8	0.9 ± 0.3
Nd-LSCO	$p = 0.24$	7.9	12 ± 4	7.4 ± 0.8	10.6 ± 3.7	0.7 ± 0.4
PCCO	$x = 0.17$	8.8	2.4 ± 0.1	1.7 ± 0.3	2.1 ± 0.1	0.8 ± 0.2
LCCO	$x = 0.15$	9.0	3.0 ± 0.3	3.0 ± 0.45	2.6 ± 0.3	1.2 ± 0.3
TMTSF	$P = 11 \text{ kbar}$	1.4	1.15 ± 0.2	2.8 ± 0.3	2.8 ± 0.4	1.0 ± 0.3

Electron scattering time τ in 7 different Planckian metals

$$\frac{1}{\tau} = \alpha \frac{k_B T}{\hbar}$$

A. Legros, S. Benhabib, W. Tabis, F. Laliberté, M. Dion, M. Lizaire, B. Vignolle, D. Vignolles, H. Raffy, Z. Z. Li, P. Auban-Senzier, N. Doiron-Leyraud, P. Fournier, D. Colson, L. Taillefer, and C. Proust, Nature Physics **15**, 142 (2019)

Material		n (10^{27} m^{-3})	m^* (m_0)	A_1 / d (Ω / K)	$h / (2e^2 T_F)$ (Ω / K)	α
Bi2212	$p = 0.23$	6.8	8.4 ± 1.6	8.0 ± 0.9	7.4 ± 1.4	1.1 ± 0.3
Bi2201	$p \sim 0.4$	3.5	7 ± 1.5	8 ± 2	8 ± 2	1.0 ± 0.4
LSCO	$p = 0.26$	7.8	9.8 ± 1.7	8.2 ± 1.0	8.9 ± 1.8	0.9 ± 0.3
Nd-LSCO	$p = 0.24$	7.9	12 ± 4	7.4 ± 0.8	10.6 ± 3.7	0.7 ± 0.4
PCCO	$x = 0.17$	8.8	2.4 ± 0.1	1.7 ± 0.3	2.1 ± 0.1	0.8 ± 0.2
LCCO	$x = 0.15$	9.0	3.0 ± 0.3	3.0 ± 0.45	2.6 ± 0.3	1.2 ± 0.3
TMTSF	$P = 11 \text{ kbar}$	1.4	1.15 ± 0.2	2.8 ± 0.3	2.8 ± 0.4	1.0 ± 0.3

Electron scattering time τ in 7 different Planckian metals



Current flow without quasiparticles

$$\frac{1}{\tau} = \alpha \frac{k_B T}{\hbar}$$

Linear-in temperature resistivity from an isotropic Planckian scattering rate

Nature **595**, 667-672 (2021)

G. Grissonnanche, Y. Fang, A. Legros, S. Verret, F. Laliberté, C. Collignon, J. Zhou, D. Graf, P. Goddard, L. Taillefer, B. J. Ramshaw



Questions

- Theory for a fermion system with variable density with quasiparticles, and relaxation time $\sim \hbar/(k_B T)$.
- Needed: theory for collision time in resistivity $\sim \hbar/(k_B T)$.
- Needed: theory for the appearance of superconductivity (and other broken symmetries) in such a 'Planckian metal'.

1. Introduction to Planckian metals

2. Introduction to black holes

3. The SYK model


4. Progress on the theory of black holes

5. Progress on the theory of Planckian metals

Black Holes

Objects so dense that light is gravitationally bound to them.


Horizon radius $R = \frac{2GM}{c^2}$



G Newton's constant, c velocity of light, M mass of black hole
For $M = \text{earth's mass}$, $R \approx 9 \text{ mm}$!

Quantum Black holes


- Black holes have an entropy and a temperature, $T_H = \hbar c^3 / (8\pi G M k_B)$.
- The entropy is proportional to their surface area.



J. D. Bekenstein, PRD **7**, 2333 (1973)
S.W. Hawking, Nature **248**, 30 (1974)

Quantum Black holes

- Black holes have an entropy and a temperature, $T_H = \hbar c^3 / (8\pi G M k_B)$.
- The entropy is proportional to their surface area.




J. D. Bekenstein, PRD **7**, 2333 (1973)
S.W. Hawking, Nature **248**, 30 (1974)

Remarkable features:

- Entropy is finite.
- Entropy is not proportional to volume

Quantum Black holes

- Black holes have an entropy and a temperature, $T_H = \hbar c^3 / (8\pi G M k_B)$.
- The entropy is proportional to their surface area.
- They relax to thermal equilibrium in a Planckian time $\sim 8\pi G M / c^3$



J. D. Bekenstein, PRD **7**, 2333 (1973)
S.W. Hawking, Nature **248**, 30 (1974)


C.V. Vishveshwara, Nature **227**, 936 (1970)

Remarkable features:

- Entropy is finite.
- Entropy is not proportional to volume

Quantum Black holes

- Black holes have an entropy and a temperature, $T_H = \hbar c^3 / (8\pi G M k_B)$.
- The entropy is proportional to their surface area.
- They relax to thermal equilibrium in a Planckian time $\sim 8\pi G M / c^3 = \hbar / (k_B T_H)$.



J. D. Bekenstein, PRD **7**, 2333 (1973)
S.W. Hawking, Nature **248**, 30 (1974)

C.V. Vishveshwara, Nature **227**, 936 (1970)

Remarkable features:

- Entropy is finite.
- Entropy is not proportional to volume


Black Holes Obey Information-Emission Limits

Limits

April 22, 2021 • *Physics 14, s47* –Christopher Crockett


G. Carullo, D. Laghi, J. Veitch, W. Del Pozzo, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **126**, 161102 (2021)

An analysis of the gravitational waves emitted from black hole mergers confirms that black holes are the fastest known information dissipaters.



Gravity wave observations of 8 different black holes show a relaxation time

$$\tau \sim \frac{\hbar}{k_B T}$$



$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{\hbar/\tau}{k_B T}$$

Thermodynamics of quantum black holes with charge Q :



$$\int \mathcal{D}g_{\mu\nu} \mathcal{D}A_{\mu} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{\hbar} \mathcal{S}_{\text{Einstein gravity+Maxwell EM}}^{(3+1)}[g_{\mu\nu}, A_{\mu}] \right)$$

Metric of
spacetime

Electromagnetic
gauge field

In general, this integral is not well defined, because of an uncontrollably large number of spacetime configurations.

Thermodynamics of quantum black holes with charge Q :



$$\int \mathcal{D}g_{\mu\nu} \mathcal{D}A_{\mu} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{\hbar} \mathcal{S}_{\text{Einstein gravity+Maxwell EM}}^{(3+1)}[g_{\mu\nu}, A_{\mu}] \right)$$
$$= \exp \left(S_{BH} \right) \times \left(\dots????\dots \right)$$

Gibbons, Hawking (1977)

Chambin, Emparan, Johnson, Myers (1999)

$$S_{BH}(T \rightarrow 0, Q) = \frac{A(T)c^3}{4G\hbar} = \frac{A_0c^3}{4G\hbar} \left(1 + \frac{2(\pi A_0)^{1/2}T}{\hbar c} \right)$$


A_0 is the area of the charged black hole horizon at $T = 0$.

Q is the black hole charge.

A_0 is a function of Q .

Questions

- Can we compute corrections to S_{BH} in semiclassical Einstein-Maxwell theory?
- Can the resulting entropy be understood as that of a unitary quantum system with a discrete spectrum ?
- Can we compute the evolution of the entropy as the black hole evaporates? Is it that of an evaporating unitary quantum system?



1. Introduction to Planckian metals

2. Introduction to black holes

3. The SYK model

4. Progress on the theory of black holes

5. Progress on the theory of Planckian metals

A simple model of a metal with quasiparticles


$$H = \frac{1}{(N)^{1/2}} \sum_{i,j=1}^N t_{ij} c_i^\dagger c_j - \mu \sum_i c_i^\dagger c_i$$

$$c_i c_j + c_j c_i = 0 \quad , \quad c_i c_j^\dagger + c_j^\dagger c_i = \delta_{ij}$$

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_i c_i^\dagger c_i = Q$$

t_{ij} are independent random variables with $\overline{t_{ij}} = 0$ and $\overline{|t_{ij}|^2} = t^2$

$$\rho(\omega) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\alpha} \delta(\omega - \varepsilon_{\alpha})$$




$$S(T \rightarrow 0) = N\gamma T$$

$$\gamma = \frac{\pi^2}{3} \rho_0$$

Many-body density of states

$$D(E) = \sum_i \delta(E - E_i); \quad E_0 + E_i \Rightarrow \text{Many body eigenvalue}$$



For random
matrix model:


$$E_0 + E_i = \sum_{\alpha} n_{\alpha} \varepsilon_{\alpha}$$

$n_{\alpha} = 0, 1,$
occupation
number

Random matrix model

Many-body density of states

$$D(E) = \sum_i \delta(E - E_i); \quad E_0 + E_i \Rightarrow \text{Many body eigenvalue}$$




For random matrix model:
 $E_0 + E_i = \sum_{\alpha} n_{\alpha} \epsilon_{\alpha}$
 $n_{\alpha} = 0, 1,$
occupation number

Random matrix model

Many-body density of states

$$D(E) = \sum_i \delta(E - E_i); \quad E_0 + E_i \Rightarrow \text{Many body eigenvalue}$$

For random matrix model:
 $E_0 + E_i = \sum_{\alpha} n_{\alpha} \epsilon_{\alpha}$
 $n_{\alpha} = 0, 1,$
 occupation number



Random matrix model

The Sachdev-Ye-Kitaev (SYK) model

(See also: the “2-Body Random Ensemble” in nuclear physics; did not obtain the large N limit;
T.A. Brody, J. Flores, J.B. French, P.A. Mello, A. Pandey, and S.S.M. Wong, Rev. Mod. Phys. **53**, 385 (1981))

$$H = \frac{1}{(2N)^{3/2}} \sum_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta=1}^N U_{\alpha\beta;\gamma\delta} c_{\alpha}^{\dagger} c_{\beta}^{\dagger} c_{\gamma} c_{\delta} - \mu \sum_{\alpha} c_{\alpha}^{\dagger} c_{\alpha}$$



$$c_{\alpha} c_{\beta} + c_{\beta} c_{\alpha} = 0 \quad , \quad c_{\alpha} c_{\beta}^{\dagger} + c_{\beta}^{\dagger} c_{\alpha} = \delta_{\alpha\beta}$$

$$Q = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\alpha} c_{\alpha}^{\dagger} c_{\alpha}$$

$U_{\alpha\beta;\gamma\delta}$ are independent random variables with $\overline{U_{\alpha\beta;\gamma\delta}} = 0$ and $\overline{|U_{\alpha\beta;\gamma\delta}|^2} = U^2$
 $N \rightarrow \infty$ yields critical strange metal.


S. Sachdev and J. Ye, PRL **70**, 3339 (1993)

A. Kitaev, unpublished; S. Sachdev, PRX **5**, 041025 (2015)




The SYK model

Sachdev, Ye (1993); Kitaev (2015)




Pick a set of random positions




The SYK model

Sachdev, Ye (1993); Kitaev (2015)




Place electrons randomly on some sites




The SYK model

Sachdev, Ye (1993); Kitaev (2015)




Place electrons randomly on some sites




The SYK model

Sachdev, Ye (1993); Kitaev (2015)




Entangle electrons pairwise randomly




The SYK model

Sachdev, Ye (1993); Kitaev (2015)




Entangle electrons pairwise randomly




The SYK model

Sachdev, Ye (1993); Kitaev (2015)




Entangle electrons pairwise randomly




The SYK model

Sachdev, Ye (1993); Kitaev (2015)




Entangle electrons pairwise randomly




The SYK model

Sachdev, Ye (1993); Kitaev (2015)




Entangle electrons pairwise randomly




The SYK model

Sachdev, Ye (1993); Kitaev (2015)




Entangle electrons pairwise randomly




The SYK model

Sachdev, Ye (1993); Kitaev (2015)




Entangle electrons pairwise randomly




The SYK model

Sachdev, Ye (1993); Kitaev (2015)




Entangle electrons pairwise randomly




The SYK model

Sachdev, Ye (1993); Kitaev (2015)




Entangle electrons pairwise randomly




The SYK model

Sachdev, Ye (1993); Kitaev (2015)




Entangle electrons pairwise randomly




The SYK model

Sachdev, Ye (1993); Kitaev (2015)




Entangle electrons pairwise randomly



Many-body density of states


$$D(E) = \sum_i \delta(E - E_i); \quad E_0 + E_i \Rightarrow \text{Many body eigenvalue}$$



Complex SYK model

Many-body density of states

$$D(E) = \sum_i \delta(E - E_i); \quad E_0 + E_i \Rightarrow \text{Many body eigenvalue}$$



$$D(E) \sim e^{S(E)}$$
$$= e^{Ns_0 + \sqrt{2N\gamma E}}$$
$$S(T \rightarrow 0) = N(s_0 + \gamma T)$$


$$s_0 = 0.464848 \dots$$

A. Georges, O. Parcollet, and
S. Sachdev,
PRB **63**, 134406 (2001)

Complex SYK model

Many-body density of states


$$D(E) = \sum_i \delta(E - E_i); \quad E_0 + E_i \Rightarrow \text{Many body eigenvalue}$$



Complex SYK model

Many-body density of states

$$D(E) = \sum_i \delta(E - E_i); \quad E_0 + E_i \Rightarrow \text{Many body eigenvalue}$$



$$D(E) \sim e^{S(E)}$$

$$= e^{N s_0 + \sqrt{2N\gamma E}}$$

$$S(T \rightarrow 0) = N(s_0 + \gamma T)$$

$$D(E) \sim 2 e^{N s_0} \sinh(\sqrt{2N\gamma E})$$

$$D(E) \sim 2 e^{N s_0} \sqrt{2N\gamma E}$$

No quasiparticle decomposition of many-body states


$$s_0 = 0.464848 \dots$$

A. Georges, O. Parcollet, and S. Sachdev, PRB **63**, 134406 (2001)

Complex SYK model

Many-body density of states

$$D(E) = \sum_i \delta(E - E_i); \quad E_0 + E_i \Rightarrow \text{Many body eigenvalue}$$



$$D(E) \sim e^{S(E)}$$

$$= e^{N s_0 + \sqrt{2N\gamma E}}$$

$$S(T \rightarrow 0) = N(s_0 + \gamma T)$$

$$D(E) \sim 2 e^{N s_0} \sinh(\sqrt{2N\gamma E})$$

$$e^{-F(T)/T} = \int_0^\infty dE D(E) e^{-E/T}$$

$$S(T) = -\partial F / \partial T$$

$$D(E) \sim 2 e^{N s_0} \sqrt{2N\gamma E}$$

No quasiparticle decomposition of many-body states


$$s_0 = 0.464848 \dots$$

A. Georges, O. Parcollet, and S. Sachdev,
PRB **63**, 134406 (2001)

Complex SYK model

Many-body density of states

$$D(E) = \sum_i \delta(E - E_i); \quad E_0 + E_i \Rightarrow \text{Many body eigenvalue}$$



$$D(E) \sim e^{S(E)}$$

$$= e^{N s_0 + \sqrt{2N\gamma E}}$$

$$S(T \rightarrow 0) = N(s_0 + \gamma T)$$

$$D(E) \sim 2 e^{N s_0} \sinh(\sqrt{2N\gamma E})$$

$$S(T) = N(s_0 + \gamma T) - \frac{3}{2} \ln \left(\frac{U}{T} \right)$$

$$D(E) \sim 2 e^{N s_0} \sqrt{2N\gamma E}$$

No quasiparticle decomposition of many-body states

$$s_0 = 0.464848 \dots$$


A. Georges, O. Parcollet, and S. Sachdev,
PRB **63**, 134406 (2001)

Complex SYK model

Many-body density of states

$$D(E) = \sum_i \delta(E - E_i); \quad E_0 + E_i \Rightarrow \text{Many body eigenvalue}$$


For random matrix model:
 $E_0 + E_i = \sum_{\alpha} n_{\alpha} \epsilon_{\alpha}$
 $n_{\alpha} = 0, 1,$
 occupation number



Random matrix model

Many-body density of states

$$D(E) = \sum_i \delta(E - E_i); \quad E_0 + E_i \Rightarrow \text{Many body eigenvalue}$$



$$D(E) \sim e^{S(E)}$$

$$= e^{N s_0 + \sqrt{2N\gamma E}}$$

$$S(T \rightarrow 0) = N(s_0 + \gamma T)$$

$$D(E) \sim 2 e^{N s_0} \sinh(\sqrt{2N\gamma E})$$

$$S(T) = N(s_0 + \gamma T) - \frac{3}{2} \ln \left(\frac{U}{T} \right)$$

$$D(E) \sim 2 e^{N s_0} \sqrt{2N\gamma E}$$

No quasiparticle decomposition of many-body states

$$s_0 = 0.464848 \dots$$

A. Georges, O. Parcollet, and S. Sachdev,
PRB **63**, 134406 (2001)

Complex SYK model

1. Introduction to Planckian metals

2. Introduction to black holes

3. The SYK model

4. Progress on the theory of black holes

5. Progress on the theory of Planckian metals

Thermodynamics of quantum black holes with charge Q :



$$\int \mathcal{D}g_{\mu\nu} \mathcal{D}A_{\mu} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{\hbar} \mathcal{S}_{\text{Einstein gravity+Maxwell EM}}^{(3+1)}[g_{\mu\nu}, A_{\mu}] \right)$$
$$= \exp(S_{BH}) \times \left(\dots????\dots \right)$$

Gibbons, Hawking (1977)

Chambin, Emparan, Johnson, Myers (1999)

$$S_{BH}(T \rightarrow 0, Q) = \frac{A(T)c^3}{4G\hbar} = \frac{A_0c^3}{4G\hbar} \left(1 + \frac{2(\pi A_0)^{1/2}T}{\hbar c} \right)$$

A_0 is the area of the charged black hole horizon at $T = 0$.

Q is the black hole charge.

A_0 is a function of Q .

Thermodynamics of quantum black holes with charge Q :



$$\int \mathcal{D}g_{\mu\nu} \mathcal{D}A_{\mu} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{\hbar} \mathcal{S}_{\text{Einstein gravity+Maxwell EM}}^{(3+1)}[g_{\mu\nu}, A_{\mu}] \right)$$
$$= \exp(S_{BH}) \times \left(\dots????\dots \right)$$

Gibbons, Hawking (1977)

Chambin, Emparan, Johnson, Myers (1999)

$$S_{BH}(T \rightarrow 0, Q) = \frac{A(T)c^3}{4G\hbar} = \frac{A_0c^3}{4G\hbar} \left(1 + \frac{2(\pi A_0)^{1/2}T}{\hbar c} \right)$$


A_0 is the area of the charged black hole horizon at $T = 0$.

Q is the black hole charge.


A_0 is a function of Q .

Note the similarity to the large N entropy of the SYK model !
(along with other similarities) Sachdev PRL 2010

Reissner-Nordstrom black hole of Einstein-Maxwell theory



Reissner-Nordstrom black hole of Einstein-Maxwell theory



Dimensional reduction from 3+1 dimensions to 1+1 dimensions (AdS_2) at low energies!

Thermodynamics of quantum black holes with charge Q :



$$\int \mathcal{D}g_{\mu\nu} \mathcal{D}A_{\mu} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{\hbar} \mathcal{S}_{\text{Einstein gravity+Maxwell EM}}^{(3+1)}[g_{\mu\nu}, A_{\mu}] \right) \\ \approx \int \mathcal{D}g_{\mu\nu} \mathcal{D}A_{\mu} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{\hbar} \mathcal{S}_{\text{JT gravity of AdS}_2 \text{ and boundary}}^{(1+1)}[g_{\mu\nu}, A_{\mu}] \right)$$

$$S_{BH}(T \rightarrow 0, Q) = \frac{A(T)c^3}{4G\hbar} = \frac{A_0c^3}{4G\hbar} \left(1 + \frac{2(\pi A_0)^{1/2}T}{\hbar c} \right)$$

A_0 is the area of the charged black hole horizon at $T = 0$.

Q is the black hole charge.

A_0 is a function of Q .

Thermodynamics of quantum black holes with charge Q :



$$\begin{aligned} & \int \mathcal{D}g_{\mu\nu} \mathcal{D}A_{\mu} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{\hbar} \mathcal{S}_{\text{Einstein gravity+Maxwell EM}}^{(3+1)}[g_{\mu\nu}, A_{\mu}] \right) \\ & \approx \int \mathcal{D}g_{\mu\nu} \mathcal{D}A_{\mu} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{\hbar} \mathcal{S}_{\text{JT gravity of AdS}_2 \text{ and boundary}}^{(1+1)}[g_{\mu\nu}, A_{\mu}] \right) \\ & = \int \mathcal{D}f(\tau) \mathcal{D}\phi(\tau) \exp \left(-\text{Schwarzian boundary graviton} + \text{rotor action}[f, \phi] \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$S_{BH}(T \rightarrow 0, Q) = \frac{A(T)c^3}{4G\hbar} = \frac{A_0c^3}{4G\hbar} \left(1 + \frac{2(\pi A_0)^{1/2}T}{\hbar c} \right)$$

A_0 is the area of the charged black hole horizon at $T = 0$.

Q is the black hole charge.

A_0 is a function of Q .

Thermodynamics of quantum black holes with charge Q :



$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int \mathcal{D}g_{\mu\nu} \mathcal{D}A_{\mu} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{\hbar} \mathcal{S}_{\text{Einstein gravity+Maxwell EM}}^{(3+1)}[g_{\mu\nu}, A_{\mu}] \right) \\
 & \approx \int \mathcal{D}g_{\mu\nu} \mathcal{D}A_{\mu} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{\hbar} \mathcal{S}_{\text{JT gravity of AdS}_2 \text{ and boundary}}^{(1+1)}[g_{\mu\nu}, A_{\mu}] \right) \\
 & = \int \mathcal{D}f(\tau) \mathcal{D}\phi(\tau) \exp \left(-\text{Schwarzian boundary graviton} + \text{rotor action}[f, \phi] \right)
 \end{aligned}$$


$$S(T \rightarrow 0, Q) = S_{BH} - \frac{3}{4} \ln \left(\frac{\hbar c^5}{GT^2} \right)$$

$$S_{BH} = \frac{A(T)c^3}{4G\hbar} = \frac{A_0 c^3}{4G\hbar} \left(1 + \frac{2(\pi A_0)^{1/2} T}{\hbar c} \right)$$

A_0 is the area of the charged black hole horizon at $T = 0$, Q is the black hole charge. The $\ln T$ term is the contribution of the boundary graviton.

Many-body density of states

$$D(E) = \sum_i \delta(E - E_i); \quad E_0 + E_i \Rightarrow \text{Many body eigenvalue}$$



$$D(E) \sim e^{S(E)}$$

$$= e^{N s_0 + \sqrt{2N\gamma E}}$$

$$S(T \rightarrow 0) = N(s_0 + \gamma T)$$

$$D(E) \sim 2 e^{N s_0} \sinh(\sqrt{2N\gamma E})$$

$$S(T) = N(s_0 + \gamma T) - \frac{3}{2} \ln \left(\frac{U}{T} \right)$$

$$D(E) \sim 2 e^{N s_0} \sqrt{2N\gamma E}$$

No quasiparticle decomposition of many-body states

$$s_0 = 0.464848 \dots$$



A. Georges, O. Parcollet, and S. Sachdev,
PRB **63**, 134406 (2001)

Complex SYK model

Many-body density of states

$$D(E) = \sum_i \delta(E - E_i); \quad E_0 + E_i \Rightarrow \text{Many body eigenvalue}$$

Same entropy and (coarse-grained) density of states in a model of interacting (fermionic) qubits with a discrete spectrum!



$$D(E) \sim 2 e^{N s_0} \sinh(\sqrt{2N\gamma E})$$

$$S(T) = N(s_0 + \gamma T) - \frac{3}{2} \ln \left(\frac{U}{T} \right)$$

$$D(E) \sim 2 e^{N s_0} \sqrt{2N\gamma E}$$

No quasiparticle decomposition of many-body states


$$s_0 = 0.464848 \dots$$

A. Georges, O. Parcollet, and S. Sachdev, PRB **63**, 134406 (2001)

Complex SYK model

Many-body density of states

$$D(E) = \sum_i \delta(E - E_i); \quad E_0 + E_i \Rightarrow \text{Many body eigenvalue}$$



$$D(E) \sim \exp\left(\frac{A_0}{4G} + \dots\right) \delta(E) + f_{\text{reg}}(E - \Delta), \quad \Delta \sim R_h^{-1}$$

Supersymmetric black holes and SYK models



1. Introduction to Planckian metals



2. Introduction to black holes

3. The SYK model


4. Progress on the theory of black holes

5. Progress on the theory of Planckian metals






Insulating antiferromagnet




$$p=0$$

Insulating antiferromagnet




$$p=0$$

Insulating antiferromagnet




$$p=0$$

Antiferromagnet doped with hole density p




Antiferromagnet doped with hole density p




t - J model

Antiferromagnet doped with hole density p




t - J model

Antiferromagnet doped with hole density p



t - J model

Antiferromagnet doped with hole density p



t - J model

Antiferromagnet doped with hole density p

$$H = -t \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} \mathcal{P}_d c_{i\alpha}^\dagger c_{j\alpha} \mathcal{P}_d + J \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} \vec{S}_i \cdot \vec{S}_j$$

$$\vec{S}_i = \frac{1}{2} c_{i\alpha}^\dagger \vec{\sigma} c_{i\alpha}$$

\mathcal{P}_d projects out doubly-occupied sites.

t - J model

Random t - J model doped with hole density p

$$H = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{i,j=1}^N t_{ij} \mathcal{P}_d c_{i\alpha}^\dagger c_{j\alpha} \mathcal{P}_d + \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{i<j=1}^N J_{ij} \vec{S}_i \cdot \vec{S}_j$$

$$\vec{S}_i = \frac{1}{2} c_{i\alpha}^\dagger \vec{\sigma} c_{i\alpha}$$

\mathcal{P}_d projects out doubly-occupied sites.

$$J_{ij} \text{ random, } \overline{J_{ij}} = 0, \overline{J_{ij}^2} = J^2$$

$$t_{ij} \text{ random, } \overline{t_{ij}} = 0, \overline{t_{ij}^2} = t^2$$

$J \Rightarrow$ two-particle interaction, as in SYK
 $t \Rightarrow$ one-particle hopping, as in random matrices

Random t - J model doped with hole density p

$$H = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{i,j=1}^N t_{ij} \mathcal{P}_d c_{i\alpha}^\dagger c_{j\alpha} \mathcal{P}_d + \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{i<j=1}^N J_{ij} \vec{S}_i \cdot \vec{S}_j$$

$$\vec{S}_i = \frac{1}{2} c_{i\alpha}^\dagger \vec{\sigma} c_{i\alpha}$$

\mathcal{P}_d projects out doubly-occupied sites.


$$J_{ij} \text{ random, } \overline{J_{ij}} = 0, \overline{J_{ij}^2} = J^2$$

$$t_{ij} \text{ random, } \overline{t_{ij}} = 0, \overline{t_{ij}^2} = t^2$$


Parisi solved the model with this term only with \vec{S}_i replaced by classical Ising spins $\sigma_i = \pm 1$

- $J \Rightarrow$ two-particle interaction, as in SYK
- $t \Rightarrow$ one-particle hopping, as in random matrices


Numerical solution of t - J model on a fully-connected cluster
with all-to-all and random t_{ij} and J_{ij}




Numerical solution of t - J model on a fully-connected cluster
with all-to-all and random t_{ij} and J_{ij}



Numerical solution of t - J model on a fully-connected cluster
with all-to-all and random t_{ij} and J_{ij}






$$\frac{1}{\tau^*} \simeq c \frac{k_B T}{\hbar}$$

Planckian metal
for $p \approx p_c$


$$\frac{1}{\tau^*} \propto T^2$$



P. T. Dumitrescu, N. Wentzell, A. Georges,
O. Parcollet, arXiv:2103.08607

- Why should the 2+1 dimensions t - J model be described by a 0+1 dimensional SYK-like theory over a significant temperature range ?

- Why should the 2+1 dimensions t - J model be described by a 0+1 dimensional SYK-like theory over a significant temperature range ?
- Recall the dimensional reduction of 3+1 dimensional gravity of a charged black hole to a 1+1 dimensional theory on AdS_2 .



- Why should the 2+1 dimensions t - J model be described by a 0+1 dimensional SYK-like theory over a significant temperature range ?
- Recall the dimensional reduction of 3+1 dimensional gravity of a charged black hole to a 1+1 dimensional theory on AdS_2 .
- By the holographic mapping, this implies that certain large N models of Fermi surfaces coupled to gauge fields in 2+1 dimensions display a dimensional reduction to a 0+1 dimensional theory. The t - J model can be written as a similar theory, but at small N .

Tom Faulkner, Nabil Iqbal, Hong Liu, John McGreevy, Mark Mezei, David Vegh, 2011

D. Chowdhury, A. Georges, O. Parcollet, S. Sachdev, arXiv: 2109.05037

Summary


- SYK: a solvable model without quasiparticle excitations, exhibiting thermalization and many-body chaos in a time of order $\hbar/(k_B T)$, independent of microscopic energy scales.

Summary

- SYK: a solvable model without quasiparticle excitations, exhibiting thermalization and many-body chaos in a time of order $\hbar/(k_B T)$, independent of microscopic energy scales.
- Low energy theory of time reparameterizations is the theory of the boundary graviton in 2D quantum gravity on AdS_2 .

Summary

- Boundary graviton leads to universal $-3/2 \ln(1/T)$ correction to Bekenstein-Hawking entropy of low T charged black holes in Einstein gravity, and to the SYK model. So the semiclassical entropy of Einstein gravity is reproduced by a unitary quantum system with a discrete spectrum. Further work along these lines has led to progress on the Page curve describing the time evolution of the entropy of an evaporating black hole.



Summary

- SYK-like random t - J model captures many aspects of the cuprates over a wide intermediate temperature range, including the Planckian metal behavior.